## Agenda

- GGCM Metrics Challenge Status (10 min)
- Dst Challenge Results (40 min)
  - Dan Welling
  - Lutz Rastaetter
  - Discussion
- Auroral oval metrics study planning (30 min)
  - Yihua Zheng
  - Discussion
- Preparation to Summer Workshop. Ideas for joint GEM-CEDAR M&V session (20 min)
- Future plans

#### Metrics and Validation FG Status

- Current term (2005-2010) expires.
- New proposal fro 2010-2015 submitted. Five year term include 2 years leadership transition period.
  - Current Co-Chairs (during the transition period): Masha Kuznetsova (NASA GSFC/CCMC), Aaron Ridley (University of Michigan).
  - New Co-Chairs (after the transition period): Tim Guild (Aerospace Corporation)
- At the end of the two-year transition period we plan to
  - finish a first round of on-going metrics studies
  - present a report on the current state of GGCM modeling.
  - built the base for further model validation projects
- Facilitate modeling challenges for other FG.

## Metrics Studies/Physical Parameters

Metric Study 1: Magnetic field at geosynchronous orbit

Metric Study 2: Magnetopause crossings by geosynch. satellite

Metric Study 3: Plasma density/temperature at geosynch. orbit

Metric Study 4: Ground magnetic perturbations

Metrics Study 5: Dst (added in 2009)

Metric Study 6: Heat flux into ionosphere (added in 2010)

Metrics Study 7: Auroral oval position (study of interest to US AF)

#### **Events**

Event 1: Oct 29, 2003 06:00 UT - Oct 30, 06:00 UT

Event 2: Dec 14, 2006 12:00 UT - Dec 16, 00:00 UT

Event 3: Aug 31, 2001 00:00 UT - Sep 01, 00:00 UT

Event 4: Aug 31, 2005 10:00 UT - Sep 01, 12:00 UT

Two more events were added in summer 2010 for metrics study 6 on request of Dayside FAC and Energy Deposition focus group:

Event 5: May 15, 2005 00:00 UT - May 16, 2005, 00:00 UT

Event 6: July 9, 2005 00:00 UT - July 12, 2005, 00:00 UT

## Challenge Status Summary

- Study 1: Magnetic field at geosynchronous orbit
  - Rastaetter et al, SWJ, 2010

Study 4: Ground magnetic perturbations

- Pulkkinen et al, SWJ, in press, 2010
- Reports are ready (Antti Pulkinnen, Lutz Rastaetter). Ready for publication.
- Selected for the Operational Metrics by NOAA SWPC (Regional Kp, dB/dt)
- Study 3: Plasma parameters at geosynch. orbit
  - Simulations completed. Waiting for SOPA correction to plasma pressure (LANL team).
- Study 2: Magnetopause crossings by geosynch. satellite
  - LANL magnetopause in/out time series are ready (Michelle Thomsen).
  - Preliminary analysis done by Lutz
  - Baseline model comparisons to understand large differences in mp standoff distance produced by different models (most likely related to different inner boundary conditions)

Phys. Parameter/	When	Deliverables /Expected completion			Relevant GEM research topics/
Metrics Study	Initiated	Observ. data time series preparation	Database of model results	Paper upon 1st round (*) completion.	GEM FG co-sponsors
1. Magnetic field at geosyn. orbit.	summer 2008	completed	completed	Rastaetter et al., 2010; Pulkkinen et al., 2010,a	Inner Magneto- sphere FG, RBSP
2. Magnetopause crossing by geosyn. satellites	summer 2008	completed	expected: 2011	expected: 2012	Dayside RA, Reconnection
3. Plasma parameters at geosyn orbit	summer 2008	expected: 2011	completed	expected: 2012	Inner Magneto- sphere FG
4. Ground magnetic perturbations	summer 2008	available	completed	Pulkkinen et al., 2010,a,b	(**)(***)
5. Dst Index	summer 2009	available	expected: 2011	expected: 2011	Inner Magneto- sphere FG,
6. Heat flux into ionosphere	summer 2010	expected: 2011	expected: 2011	expected: 2012	Dayside FACs and Energy Deposition FG (***)
7. Auroral oval	fall 2010	new			Inner Magneto- sphere FG (**)(***)

### CCMC On-Line Metrics Tool Suite

• Simulation results submission interface

Accepts time series derived from simulation results obtained outside the CCMC. Interactive file format check.

Database of model settings

Model setting (model/combination of models, version, number of grid cell, max resolution..) as a main database entry.

On-line time series plotting tool.

Observations and simulation output for different model settings at the same plot (for selected event, physical parameter, event, instrument)

Configurable table of metric results

Pick metric parameter, metric (skill score) type(s), event(s). Get a table of model setting descriptions with skill scores

# Modeling Challenge Goals

- Address the differences between various modeling approaches
- Evaluate the *current* state of the space physics modeling
- Demonstrate effects of model coupling, grid resolution
- Encourage collaborations.
- Facilitate further model improvements.